THE MINISTER OF COMMENCE (SHRI DINESH SINGH): (a) The provisional figures of imports released by DGCI&S, Calcutta, do not show a general decline in our imports in 1987-88 as compared to 1986-87 from the countries of South East Asia. However, there is a fall in imports in this period from Japan and Indonesia. The fall in imports from Japan would depend on a combination of factors including the high yen-induced prices of Japanese products.

(b) The imports from Japan and Indonesia in 1987-88 as compared to 1986-87 are as follow:

(Rs. in crores)

	1986-87	1987-88
Japan . . .	2557.99	2114.28
Indonesia . .	99.35	82.39

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Investment by Non-Resident Indians

*367. SHRI ASHISH SEN:
SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total amount of investment made by the Non-Resident Indians, both on direct and on repatriation basis since 1982-83 till date; and

(b) what are the sectors in which such investments were made?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) The total investments made by Non-Resident Indians since 1928-83 till 31st May, 1988 are as follows:

(Rs. in crores)

(i) Direct Investment (Proposals Approved) . .	1313.15
(ii) Portfolio Investment (Actual Purchase of Shares)	64.83

(b) The main sectors where investments have been made by NRIs are metallurgical, electrical, electronic, engineering, chemical and petrochemicals industries, hospitals and medical diagnostic equipments, food products, paper and pulp industries, hotels, plastic products etc.

Pension Scheme for Bank Officers

*368. DR. VELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be leased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to introduce pension scheme for the officers of the nationalised banks; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHIR EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Progress made by Jain-Benerjee Committee

*369. SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) what progress has been made by the Jain-Banerjee Committee and Justice Dalip Kapoor Committee, which were appointed to take follow-up action on the findings of the justice Mishda Commission on November, 1984 riots;

(b) whether these Committees have identified any citizen or police personnel as guilty; and

(c) if so, what further action has been taken or is being taken against them?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): (a) to (c) The Committee consisting of Justice Dalip K. Kapur, former Chief Justice of Delhi High Court and Kum. Kusum Lata Mital, retired Secretary to the Government of India, was appointed to inquire into the delinquencies and good conduct of idividual police officers and men in Delhi, during November, 1984 riots. The Committee had given public notices asking for affidavits from the general public with reference to the terms of the Committee. The Committee received more than 300 affidavits. The report of the Committee has not yet been received. Once that is received, the same would be considered and appropriate action taken.

The Committee consisting of Justice M. L. Jain and Shri A. K. Banerji was appointed for examining whether there were cases of ommission to register or properly investigate offences committed in Delhi during November, 1984 riots and to recommend registration of cases, where necessary, and to monitor the investigation thereof and also to follow up cases already registered by the Police and to suggest steps for effective action including fresh and further investigation, where necessary. This Committee also gave public notices inviting affidavits/documents to facilitate the enquiry. The Committee received more than 400 affidavits. The Committee sent 2 cases to the Delhi Police for registration. In the meantime, one of the persons against whom the police was asked to register the case, filed a writ petition in the Delhi High Court. The Delhi High Court issued ad-interim injunction on 24-11-87 restraining the Committee from making recommendations for registration of fresh cases. The Court also restrained the Delhi Police from registering any case on the directions/orders of the Committee. In view of the injunction issued by the Delhi High Court, no case has been registered so far on the directions of the Committee.

Seizure of Heroin in Amritsar

370. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK:
SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item which appeared in 'the Times of India' of the 23rd July, 1988 to the effect that heroin worth Rs. 20 crores has been seized in Amritsar;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) whether any arrests have been made in this connection and if so, what action has been taken by Government against the guilty persons?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. The details of the seizures referred to in the news-item are given below.

On 19-7-1988, the Border Security Force at BOP, Pallopatti No. 144/18 challenged some intrudres coming from Pakistan and resorted to firing. However, the intruders fled back to Pakistan throwing away their headloads which, on search, were found to be 10 packets of heroin of one kilogram each along with Pakistan currency of Rs. 10.

In a second operation acting on a tip-off, the Border Security Force and Directorate of Revenue, Intelligence, Amristar, in a joint operation searched the residential permises of one Shingara Singh of Mullan Behram village (Amritsar district)) on